



PATENT  
24-NS-124421

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Daniel Pappone

: Art Unit: 2123

Serial No.: 10/064,191

: Examiner: Ayal I. Sharon

Filed: June 20, 2002

For: STRUCTURED APPROACH FOR RISK-  
INFORMING DETERMINISTIC  
SAFETY ANALYSES

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY EXPRESS MAIL TO  
THE COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS**

Express Mail Mailing Label Number: **EV829960111US**

Date of Mailing: **January 29, 2007**

I certify that the documents listed below:

- Issue Fee Transmittal Form (1 pg.), in duplicate
- Comments On Statement Of Reasons For Allowance (1 pg.)
- Return Post Card

are being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 C.F.R. §1.10 on the date indicated above in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop: Issue Fee, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Michael Tersillo

Reg. No. 42,180

ARMSTRONG TEASDALE LLP  
One Metropolitan Square, Suite 2600  
St. Louis, MO 63102-2740  
(314) 621-5070



Express Mail Label No. EV829960111US

24-NS-124421  
PATENT

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Applicant: Daniel Pappone

: Art Unit: 2123

Serial No.: 10/064,191

: Examiner: Ayal I. Sharon

Filed: June 20, 2002

For: STRUCTURED APPROACH FOR RISK-  
INFORMING DETERMINISTIC  
SAFETY ANALYSES

**COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**

Mail Stop: Issue Fee  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313

The following comments are in response to the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance in the Notice of Allowability dated November 27, 2006.

Applicants believe that the Statement of Reasons for Allowance in this case is improper because reasons for allowance are only warranted in instances in which the record of the prosecution as a whole does not make clear the Examiner's reasons for allowing a claim or claims (see 37 CFR §1.104(e)). In the present case, Applicants believe the record as a whole does make the reasons for allowance clear and therefore no statement by the Examiner is necessary or warranted. Furthermore, Applicants do not necessarily agree with each statement in the reasons for allowance and do not necessarily agree with the Examiner's interpretation of the teachings of the cited art.

Respectfully submitted,



Michael Tersillo  
Registration No. 42,180  
ARMSTRONG TEASDALE LLP  
One Metropolitan Square, Suite 2600  
St. Louis, Missouri 63102-2740  
(314) 621-5070